

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/066,377	Applicant(s) GOLOMB ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Anca C. Gheorghiu.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 14 was improperly dependent upon itself. It was proposed that the dependency be changed to claim 8. Ms. Gheorghiu approved the change by examiner amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)